

**Comparative Data for Cost-Effectiveness**  
**16 V.S.A. § 165(a)(2)(K)**

**School:** Waitsfield Elementary School  
**S.U.:** Washington West S.U.

A list of schools and school districts in each cohort may be found on the DOE website under "School Data and Reports":  
<http://www.state.vt.us/educ/>

**FY2010 School Level Data**

**Cohort Description:** Elementary school, enrollment ≥ 100 but <200  
 (44 schools in cohort)

**Cohort Rank by Enrollment** (1 is largest)  
 12 out of 44

School level data		Grades Offered	Enrollment	Total Teachers	Total Administrators	Stu / Tchr Ratio	Stu / Admin Ratio	Tchr / Admin Ratio
Smaller ->	Robinson School	K - 6	160	15.20	1.00	10.53	160.00	15.20
	Monkton Central School	K - 6	162	14.40	1.00	11.25	162.00	14.40
	Vernon Elementary School	K - 6	164	16.00	1.00	10.25	164.00	16.00
	<b>Waitsfield Elementary School</b>	<b>PK - 6</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>14.47</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>11.33</b>	<b>164.00</b>	<b>14.47</b>
<- Larger	Proctor Elementary School	PK - 6	165	16.25	1.00	10.15	165.00	16.25
	Deerfield Valley Elementary School	PK - 5	165	16.40	1.00	10.06	165.00	16.40
	Fisher School	PK - 5	166	17.90	1.00	9.27	166.00	17.90
<b>Averaged SCHOOL cohort data</b>			<b>141.11</b>	<b>12.40</b>	<b>1.03</b>	<b>11.38</b>	<b>137.43</b>	<b>12.08</b>

**School District:** Waitsfield  
**LEA ID:** T217

Special education expenditures vary substantially from district to district and year to year. Therefore, they have been excluded from these figures.

The portion of current expenditures made by supervisory unions on behalf of districts varies greatly. This year figures include district assessments to SUs. Doing so makes districts more comparable to each other. The consequence is that THESE FIGURES ARE ONLY COMPARABLE TO FIGURES USED IN THE SIMILAR FILES FOR FY10 and FY11.

**FY2009 School District Data**

**Cohort Description:** Elementary school district, FY2009 FTE ≥ 100 but < 200  
 (32 school districts in cohort)

Grades offered in School District | Student FTE enrolled in school district | Current expenditures per student FTE **EXCLUDING** special education costs

**Cohort Rank by FTE**  
 (1 is largest)  
 13 out of 32

**School district data (local, union, or joint district)**

Smaller ->	Calais	PK-6	135.77	\$10,970
	Newbury	PK-6	136.29	\$12,875
	Wolcott	K-6	138.02	\$11,481
	<b>Waitsfield</b>	<b>PK-6</b>	<b>138.47</b>	<b>\$12,149</b>
<- Larger	Ludlow	K-6	139.62	\$15,538
	North Bennington ID	K-6	141.32	\$11,611
	Underhill Town	K-4	141.42	\$9,969
<b>Averaged SCHOOL DISTRICT cohort data</b>			<b>136.03</b>	<b>\$12,139</b>

Current expenditures are an effort to calculate an amount per FTE spent by a district on students enrolled in that district. This figure excludes tuitions and assessments paid to other providers, construction and equipment costs, debt service, adult education, and community service.

**FY2011 School District Data**

LEA ID	School District	Grades offered in School District	School district tax rate			Total municipal tax rate, K-12, consisting of prorated member district rates		
			SD Equalized Pupils	SD Education Spending per Equalized Pupil	SD Equalized Homestead Ed tax rate	MUN Equalized Homestead Ed tax rate	MUN Common Level of Appraisal	MUN Actual Homestead Ed tax rate
Smaller ->	T136 Newbury	PK-6	130.47	14,212.24	1.4305	1.2811	0.8686	1.4749
	T141 North Bennington ID	K-6	133.54	13,515.89	1.3605	1.2387	0.8522	2.7095
	T124 Middlesex	PK-6	138.09	13,357.22	1.3445	1.3338	0.9681	1.3777
	<b>T217 Waitsfield</b>	<b>PK-6</b>	<b>139.28</b>	<b>12,721.10</b>	<b>1.2804</b>	<b>1.2681</b>	<b>0.9652</b>	<b>1.3138</b>
<- Larger	T222 Warren	PK-6	140.23	11,586.80	1.1663	1.2082	1.0445	1.1567
	T212 Underhill Town	K-4	141.00	12,751.28	1.2835	1.2381	0.9454	1.3096
	T196 Starksboro	K-6	143.86	13,362.62	1.3450	1.2971	0.8880	1.4607

The Legislature has required the Department of Education to provide this information per the following statute:

16 V.S.A. § 165(a)(2) The school, at least annually, reports student performance results to community members in a format selected by the school board. . . . The school report shall include:

(K) data provided by the commissioner which enable a comparison with other schools, or school districts if school level data are not available, for cost-effectiveness. The commissioner shall establish which data are to be included pursuant to this subdivision and, notwithstanding that the other elements of the report are to be presented in a format selected by the school board, shall develop a common format to be used by each school in presenting the data to community members. The commissioner shall provide the most recent data available to each school no later than October 1 of each year. Data to be presented may include student-to-teacher ratio, administrator-to-student ratio, administrator-to-teacher ratio, and cost per pupil.